

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary

Application No.

09/698,009

Applicant(s)

KOEHLER ET AL.

Examiner

Cheryl N Hawkins

Art Unit

1734

All Participants:(1) Cheryl N Hawkins.(2) Craig J. Lervick.**Status of Application:** In Condition for Allowance

(3) _____

(4) _____

Date of Interview: December 8, 2003**Time:** 3:30pm**Type of Interview:**☒ Telephonic☐ Video Conference☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

N/A

Claims discussed:

Claims 20-33

Prior art documents discussed:

N/A

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

Cheryl N. Hawkins

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner Hawkins telephoned Attorney Lervick to request permission to cancel Claims 20-33, which were non-elected with traverse, to bring the application into condition for allowance. Attorney Lervick gave authorization for Examiner Hawkins to accomplish this via an Examiner's Amendment.